# A Scalable Scheme for Privacy-Preserving Aggregation of Time-Series Data





Marc Joye • Benoît Libert

## **Smart Metering**



- Frequent aggregates of consumption over a population of users is useful to finely tune the service
  - better prices by adapting the load or forecasting the supply
  - useful to rapidly detect anomalies on the grid
- BUT privacy issues...

### Easy case

- User *i* encrypts her input  $x_i$  under the aggregator's public key pk and sends  $c_i = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_i)$
- Aggregator decrypts each  $c_i$ , obtains  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and reveals the aggregate  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$



# Additively Homomorphic Encryption

 $\text{Encrypt}_{pk}(\mathbf{x}_i) \star \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(\mathbf{x}_j) = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_j)$ 

■ ElGamal's cryptosystem Sender random  $r \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q$   $c_{i,1} = g^r$  and  $c_{i,2} = h^{x_i}y^r$   $\frac{(c_{i,1}, c_{i,2})}{(c_{i,1}, c_{i,2})}$   $\frac{pk}{pk} = (\langle g \rangle, q, h, y = g^s)$   $h^{x_i} = c_{i,2}c_{i,1}^{-s}$   $\Rightarrow x_i = ...$ (requires  $x_i$  to be "small")  $\frac{Remark:}{(c_{i,1}, c_{i,2})} * (c_{j,1}, c_{j,2}) = (c_{i,1} \cdot c_{j,1}, c_{i,2} \cdot c_{j,2})$   $= (g^{r+r'}, h^{x_i+x_j}y^{r+r'})$ technicolor  $\mathsf{Encrypt}_{pk}(\pmb{x}_i) \star \mathsf{Encrypt}_{pk}(\pmb{x}_j) = \mathsf{Encrypt}_{pk}(\pmb{x}_i + \pmb{x}_j)$ 

Paillier's cryptosystem



# Trusted Aggregator (Optimized Version)

#### Easy case

- User *i* encrypts her input  $x_i$  under the aggregator's public key pk and sends  $c_i = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_i)$
- Aggregator computes  $C = \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i$ , decrypts C and reveals the aggregate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

### Limitation

Aggregator must be trusted not to reveal the  $x_i$ 's



### More difficult case

Can we do the same without trusting the aggregator?





# Solution #1: Splitting Roles

Add an extra party: the Crypto Service Provider (CSP)

- 1 User *i* encrypts her input  $x_i$  under the CSP's public key *pk* and sends  $c_i = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_i)$  to the aggregator
- 2 Aggregator aggregates the  $c_i$ 's as

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{c}_{i} = \operatorname{Encrypt}_{pk} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \right)$$

and sends C to the CSP

**3** CSP decrypts C and returns the aggregate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ 



Distribute the decryption capability among two (or more) parties



Financial Cryptography 2013 · Okinawa, April 1-5, 2013

# Solution #3: Interaction

Users sequentially aggregate their inputs

1 Centralized aggregator holds a public key *pk* 

2 User *i* receives an encrypted "aggregate-so-far"

 $C_{i-1} = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_{i-1})$ 

and appends its input,  $C_i = C_{i-1} \star \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_i)$ , and send  $C_i$  to user i + 1

3 Aggregator receives  $C_n = \text{Encrypt}_{pk}(x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n)$ , decrypts it, and obtains  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ 



Shi-Hubert-Chan-Rieffel-Chow-Song (NDSS 2011)

- Aggregator obtains the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  and nothing else, without interaction
- Involves a setup phase where an off-line TTP provides secret keys to users and aggregator
- AO security: corrupting some users and/or the aggregator only reveals partial sums  $\sum_{i \in C} x_i$  for some  $C \subset \{1, ..., n\}$



## Shi et al. Scheme

## Setup phase

- Let  $\mathbb{G} = \langle g \rangle$  be a cyclic group of prime order q and  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \to \mathbb{G}$  be a hash function
- Aggregator has  $s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and user *i* gets  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  s.t.  $\sum_{i=0}^n s_i = 0$

### Aggregation

• At period t, user i encrypts  $x_i$  as

$$c_i = g^{x_i} \cdot H(t)^{s_i}$$

• Aggregator computes  $C = H(t)^{s_0} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n c_i$  and obtains

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{g}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}(t)^{\sum_{i=0}^{n} \boldsymbol{s}_{i}} = \boldsymbol{g}^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}$$

which yields  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}$ 



## Theorem (Shi et al., NDSS 2011)

The scheme provides **aggregator-oblivious security** under the **Decision Diffie-Hellman** (DDH) assumption (in the random oracle model)

**DDH:** Given  $(g, g^a, g^b, T) \in \mathbb{G}^3$ , no PPT algorithm can decide if  $T = g^{ab}$  or  $T \in_R \mathbb{G}$ 

### Drawbacks

- Decryption is somewhat expensive
  - requires the computation of a discrete log in DDH group G
- Security reduction is pretty loose
  - includes a degradation factor of  $O(n^3)$
- $\implies$  the scheme is not scalable

Financial Cryptography 2013 · Okinawa, April 1-5, 2013

# Our Solution: Scalable AO Scheme

- Challenge left open by Shi *et al*.(NDSS 2011):
  - How to effciently compute sums over large plaintext spaces?
  - Investigate other algebraic settings
- Natural candidates: Paillier-like systems

## Problem

The proof offered by Shi *et al.* does not extend to this setting
requires DDH groups of known (prime) orders





technicolor

### Setup phase

- Let N = pq and  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \to \mathbb{Z}^*_{\mathbb{N}^2}$  be a hash function
- Aggregator has  $s_0 \in \pm \{0, 1\}^{2\ell}$  and user *i* gets  $s_i \in \pm \{0, 1\}^{2\ell}$  s.t.  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i = 0$  (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ )

### Aggregation



Financial Cryptography 2013 · Okinawa, April 1-5, 2013

# Security Analysis

### Theorem

The scheme provides **aggregator-oblivious security** under the **Decision Composite Residuosity** (DCR) assumption (in the ROM)

**DCR:** Given N = pq, no PPT algorithm can distinguish the distibutions  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \{x^N \mod N^2 \mid x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_{N^2}^*\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{x \in_R \mathbb{Z}_{N^2}^*\}$ 

- **Tighter security reduction (degradation of**  $O(q_{enc})$ **)** 
  - i.e., indep. of the number of users
- <u>Reminder</u>: Shi *et al.* scheme has a degradation of  $O(n^3 \cdot q_{hash})$



technicolor

- Sketch: use a sequence of games
  - Game 0: real game
  - Game 1: change the output of random oracle queries
    - If  $t = t^*$ , set  $H(t^*) \in_R \mathbb{Z}^*_{N^2}$ 
      - If  $t \neq t^*$ , set H(t) as a N-th residue
- Under DCR assumption, Game 0 and Game 1 are indistinguishable

• Key observation: in Game 1, no information about  $\{s_i \mod N\}_{i \in S^*}$  is leaked to the adversary

 $\Rightarrow c_{i,t^{\star}} = (1 + N)^{x_i} \cdot H(t^{\star})^{s_i}$  perfectly hides  $x_i$ 



Financial Cryptography 2013 · Okinawa, April 1-5, 2013

## Summary

#### Aggregator-oblivious aggregation is now scalable and practical

- Fast decryption (aggregation)
- On-line/off-line efficiency: only one on-line multiplication
- Tighter security (independent of the number of users)

### Some research problems

- Eliminate the random oracle model
- Compute other statistics (weighted sum, standard deviation, etc.) without interaction

